



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/777,423

02/12/2004

Howard R. Petty

30275/39376

4331

4743

7590

08/18/2008

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP  
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300  
SEARS TOWER  
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

ROY, BAISAKHI

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3737

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/18/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/777,423

Applicant(s)

PETTY ET AL.

Examiner

BAISAKHI ROY

Art Unit

3737

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Baisakhi Roy.

(3) Kenneth Kohn.

(2) Gregory Stanton (Reg. No. 45,127).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-14, 16, and 20-36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shapiro and Grinvald.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion related to proposed amendments and if it distinguishes over art of record. It was decided that the art of record does not teach the "immediate" image capture limitation and the amended claims would include this limitation along with its criticality to the invention and the method claims would positively recite structural elements tied to the method steps to address 101 issues. Examiner will update search based on the amendments as discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian L. Casler/  
SPE AU 3737

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required